

# Manchester Health & Care Commissioning

## Incident Reporting Policy

Version 1

Approved: 19<sup>th</sup> September 2017

Version:	1
Approved by:	MHCC Governance Committee
Author:	Head of Corporate Governance
Approved date:	19 <sup>th</sup> September 2017
Review date:	3 years
Target audience:	All MHCC Staff

**Contents**

- 1 1 Policy Summary ..... 4
- 2 2 Introduction ..... 4
- 3 3 Purpose..... 5
- 4 4 Staff..... 6
- 5 5 Definitions of Terms Used ..... 8
- 6 6 Process for Reporting Internal Incidents ..... 11
  - 6.1 Process for Managing Internal Incidents ..... 13
  - 6.2 Process for Investigating Internal Incidents ..... 13
  - 6.3 Process for Managing Internal (MHCC) Serious Incidents ..... 14
- 7 7 Dissemination, Training & Advice..... 17
- 8 8 References..... 18

# 1 Policy Summary

Adhering to this policy will help to ensure that we use NHS money wisely, providing best value for taxpayers and accountability to our patients for the decisions we take.

This policy underpins the Manchester Health and Care Commissioning risk management framework and sets out the systems, processes and accountability within the MHCC for the reporting, investigation and management of MHCC own incidents and near misses, including incidents of a serious nature for example Serious Incidents (SIs) and any required external notifications. By adopting this policy, MHCC aims to improve the organisation's ability to:

- commission high quality, safe and accountable health services,
- minimise risk to patients and members of the public and
- ensure a safe working environment for staff whilst maximising the resources available.

## 2 Introduction

Manchester Health and Care Commissioning (MHCC) is the partnership between NHS Manchester Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and Manchester City Council (MCC) which leads the commissioning of health, social care and public health services in the city of Manchester.

Manchester Health and Care Commissioning (MHCC) (the 'organisation'), and the people who work with and for us, collaborate closely with other organisations, delivering high quality care for our patients.

These partnerships have many benefits and should help ensure that public money is spent efficiently and wisely. But there is a risk that conflicts of interest may arise.

In this policy we refer to staff as both NHS Manchester CCG staff and Manchester City Council staff who work collaboratively as Manchester Health and Care Commissioning.

As a commissioner, MHCC procures a range of services some of which are large and complex. MHCC is committed to complying with legislation and NHS standards that require the CCG to have robust systems and processes in place for the reporting, investigation and management of all incidents and near misses which occur as part of the day to day organisational business.

As an NHS commissioning organisation, MHCC aims to learn and share the lessons learned and improve its internal systems and processes, which underpin and support its statutory organisational and commissioning responsibilities. By adopting this approach, MHCC will greatly improve its ability to commission high quality patient care, ensure a safe environment for staff and effectively utilise its resources.

MHCC recognises that incident reporting is a fundamental tool of risk management in that it provides an opportunity to collect vital information about incidents to gain a better understanding of the underlying factors, system failures, errors or events that have occurred or had the potential to occur causing harm, loss, injury or damage.

MHCC adopts and upholds a 'fair blame culture' that encourages organisational learning and openness from errors, incidents or near misses to identify system failures and not to apportion blame. However, MHCC wishes to make it clear that incident reporting will not result in disciplinary proceedings save in exceptional circumstances such as:

- If there has been criminal negligence or criminal actions;
- Events so severe as to require an external inquiry;
- A staff member disregards established procedures;
- Failure to report a serious incident knowingly.

MHCC endeavours to improve its commissioning by embedding risk management and incident reporting into all areas of its business functions to ensure that lessons learned lead to improvements within its organisational functions.

MHCC will work in line with national requirements set out in the NHS England Serious Incident Framework 2015.

<http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/patientsafety/serious-incident/>

MHCC is required to report all internal SIs to NHS England. The Corporate Governance Team will manage the investigation process relating to the SI, with the Quality Team managing the reporting of the incident to NHS England.

### **3 Purpose**

The purpose of this policy is to ensure that all members, staff and/or employees working for or on behalf of MHCC are aware of their duties when reporting, investigating or managing incidents.

It applies to all CCG MHCC own incidents whether they involve patients, carers, visitors, staff or members of the public and include property, premises, assets, information or any other aspect of the organisations business. A separate policy is in place for incidents that occur within the services commissioned by MHCC.

It gives direction and organisational regulation so that managers are aware of their duties in the approval, management and investigation of incidents and key personnel are aware of their duties of reporting incidents to external bodies as appropriate.

This policy aims to:

1. Ensure that all staff respond and learn from incidents.
2. Ensure that all incidents are reported in a timely manner.
3. Ensure that all staff contribute to the identification of risk, by reporting incidents and near misses, thus allowing preventative controls to be put in place.

4. Ensure that all SIs are investigated in a timely, efficient and effective way.
5. Ensure compliance with national reporting requirements.
6. Ensure MHCC has an open and honest approach to incidents affecting patients/relatives/carers/staff, and a commitment to sharing lessons learned.
7. Ensure lessons learned from incidents and trends are shared across the organisation and fully acted upon.
8. Enhance learning and development through the application of good performance management principles.

## 4 Staff

At MHCC we use the skills of many different people, all of whom are vital to our work. This includes people on differing employment terms, who for the purposes of this policy we refer to as 'staff' and are listed below:

- All salaried staff;
- All prospective staff – who are part-way through recruitment;
- GP partners or directors and individuals in a practices directly involved with the business or decision making of MHCC;
- Contractors and sub-contractors;
- Agency staff; and
- Committee, sub-committee and advisory group members, non-executive members, lay members and volunteers (who may not be directly employed or engaged by the organisation).

Responsibilities:

### *Chief Accountable Officer*

The Chief Accountable Officer has overarching responsibility for internal governance arrangements and where appropriate for co-ordinating SI investigations.

### *MHCC Board*

The MHCC Board has overall responsibility for risk management and health and safety within MHCC. Through the reports and minutes from delegated sub-committees, the MHCC Board must gain assurance that the process of incidents, complaints and claims investigations, and the learning and application of lessons learned, is working efficiently and effectively.

### *The MHCC Committee with Responsibility for Quality*

The MHCC Board has established a sub-committee that reports to it and has delegated responsibility for:

- Reviewing statistical evidence for all reported MHCC Incidents, SIs, complaints, PALS and claims on a 6 monthly basis.
- Interpreting this data for trends analysis and assurance.
- Monitoring the feedback from external agencies on the incident reporting process.

- Ensuring all high and moderate graded incidents have an investigation completed within 30 days; and within 60 days for SI's reported on to StEIS.
- Seeking assurance that the operational management of incidents within the MHCC is both effective and efficient.

#### *Senior Management Team*

It is the duty of all senior managers to ensure that all their staff comply with the incident reporting process and all of its associated procedures, and take appropriate action if this does not occur.

#### *The Corporate Governance Team*

The Corporate Governance Team have the responsibility to:

- Ensure all staff using the Datix system are trained appropriately.
- Implement this policy when appropriate for all internal serious incidents.
- Inform the appropriate MHCC lead and MHCC Board or relevant Committee of reported incidents according to their significance.
- Inform all relevant external bodies of a SI if appropriate in accordance with their requirements.
- Ensure lessons are learned across the organisation and by educating staff.
- Ensure that incident data collection is complete and appropriate.
- Inform the MHCC Board and/or relevant sub-Committee of reported incidents according to their significance.
- Inform NHS England in accordance with their incident reporting requirements.
- Provide reports to relevant MHCC Board sub-committees or groups.
- Ensure lessons are learned across the organisation.
- Inform all external agencies of incidents as statutorily obliged.

#### *The Corporate Governance Committee*

- Receive and monitor information regarding MHCC or CCG initiated incidents on behalf of the MHCC Board;

#### *The Performance and Quality Team*

- To manage the reporting of MHCC serious incidents onto the STEIS portal.

#### *Line Managers (Incident Approvers and Investigators)*

- Must take immediate action to prevent recurrence of an incident.
- Ensure that the Datix incident form is completed for all incidents.
- Ensure local investigations are carried out to a satisfactory and prompt conclusion; upload findings, action plans and documentation relevant to the investigation onto Datix.
- Retain all appropriate records, materials and equipment involved in the incident.
- Maintain all records on the Datix incident reporting system.
- Comply with this Policy and its reporting and management procedures.
- Must inform their Senior Manager verbally, as soon as they become aware, of a serious incident.
- Must work with staff to take immediate action to prevent recurrence of any serious incident.

### *All Staff*

- Must comply with this policy and its reporting procedures and take all reasonable steps to minimise risks associated with incidents they report.
- Must inform their line manager verbally as soon as they become aware of a SI.
- Take all reasonable steps to minimise risks following an incident and assist with any incident investigation.
- Retain all appropriate records, materials and equipment involved in an incident.
- Assist with any incident investigation such as providing written statements on request of an investigation manager.

## **5 Definitions of Terms Used**

**Accident** – An unintentional event which can, but not always, cause harm.

**Being Open** - Open communication of staff/volunteer/patient safety incidents that result in harm or the death of a patient while receiving healthcare.

**[Clinical] Governance** - A framework through which NHS organisations are accountable for continuously improving the quality of their services and safeguarding high standards of care by creating an environment in which excellence in clinical care will flourish.

**Culture** - Learned attitudes, beliefs and values that define a group or groups of people.

**Departments** – Those working within the Manchester city wide teams to support the commissioning roles and responsibilities of MHCC.

**Duty of Candour** – a statutory requirement has been introduced to ensure health care providers operate in a more open and transparent way. The regulation for Duty of Candour applied to health service bodies from 27 November 2014. It has been extended to all other providers from 1 April 2015.

This regulation requires an NHS body to:

- Make sure it acts in an open and transparent way with relevant persons in relation to care and treatment provided to people who use services in carrying on a regulated activity
- Tell the relevant person in person as soon as reasonably practicable after becoming aware that a ‘notifiable safety incident’<sup>\*</sup> has occurred, and provide

---

<sup>\*</sup> means any unintended or unexpected incident that occurred in respect of a service user during the provision of a regulated activity that, in the reasonable opinion of a health care professional, could result in, or appears to have resulted in—

(a) the death of the service user, where the death relates directly to the incident rather than to the natural course of the service user’s illness or underlying condition, or

(b) severe harm, moderate harm or prolonged psychological harm to the service user

support to them in relation to the incident, including when giving the notification.

- Provide an account of the incident which, to the best of the health service body's knowledge, is true of all the facts the body knows about the incident as at the date of the notification.
- Advise the relevant person what further enquiries the health service body believes are appropriate.
- Offer an apology.
- Follow this up by giving the same information in writing, and providing an update on the enquiries.
- Keep a written record of all communication with the relevant person

**Employee** – An individual employed by MHCC directly or working on behalf of MHCC through a third party for a specific piece of work on a short/ medium term basis.

**Hazard** - Has the potential of something to cause harm to people or property.

**Incident** - Any unintended or unexpected incident which could have or did lead to any of the following:

1. Harm to 1 or more patient, employee or member of MHCC.
2. Financial loss to the individual or MHCC.
3. Damage to property of the individual or MHCC.
4. Damage to the reputation of MHCC.

**Investigation** - The act or process of investigating – a detailed enquiry or systematic examination utilising root cause analysis to identify causal factors.

**Near Miss** - A situation during any activity that fails to develop further, whether or not, as the result of intervening action, but carried with it, the potential to cause harm (i.e. "it almost happened").

**Never Events** - Never Events arise from failure of strong systemic protective barriers which can be defined as successful, reliable and comprehensive safeguards or remedies e.g. a uniquely designed connector to prevent administration of a medicine via the incorrect route - for which the importance, rationale and good practice use should be known to, fully understood by, and robustly sustained throughout the system from suppliers, procurers, requisitioners, training units, and front line staff alike. The Department of Health (DoH) offers guidance on what constitutes a 'Never Event'.

**Risk** - The chance of something happening that will have an impact on individuals and/or organisations. It is measured in terms of likelihood and consequences.

**Risk Assessment** – The evaluation of risk with regard to the impact if the risk is realised and the likelihood of the risk being realised.

**Risk Management** - Identifying, assessing, analysing, understanding and acting on risk issues in order to reach an optimal balance of risk, benefit and cost.

**Root Cause Analysis (RCA)** - A systematic process whereby the factors that contributed to an incident are identified. As an investigation technique, it looks beyond the individuals concerned and seeks to understand the underlying causes and environmental context in which an incident happened.

**Serious Incident** – Serious incidents are events in health care where the potential for learning is so great, or the consequences to families and carers, staff or organisations are so significant, that they warrant using additional resources to mount a comprehensive response. Serious incidents can extend beyond incidents which affect patients directly and include incidents which may indirectly impact patient safety or an organisation's ability to deliver on-going healthcare.

There is no definitive list of events/incidents that constitute a serious incident and lists should not be created locally as this can lead to inconsistent or inappropriate management of incidents.

Serious Incidents in the NHS include:

- Acts and/or omissions occurring as part of NHS-funded healthcare (including in the community) that result in:

Unexpected or avoidable death<sup>\*</sup> of one or more people. This includes suicide/self-inflicted death; and homicide by a person in receipt of mental health care within the recent past<sup>†</sup> (see Appendix 1);

- Unexpected or avoidable injury to one or more people that has resulted in serious harm;
- Unexpected or avoidable injury to one or more people that requires further treatment by a healthcare professional in order to prevent:—  
the death of the service user; or serious harm;

---

<sup>\*</sup> Caused or contributed to by weaknesses in care/service delivery (including lapses/acts and/or omission) as opposed to a death which occurs as a direct result of the natural course of the patient's illness or underlying condition where this was managed in accordance with best practice.

<sup>†</sup> This includes those in receipt of care within the last 6 months but this is a guide and each case should be considered individually - it may be appropriate to declare a serious incident for a homicide by a person discharged from mental health care more than 6 months previously.

- Actual or alleged abuse; sexual abuse, physical or psychological ill-treatment, or acts of omission which constitute neglect, exploitation, financial or material abuse, discriminative and organisational abuse, self-neglect, domestic abuse, human trafficking and modern day slavery where:

healthcare did not take appropriate action/intervention to safeguard against such abuse occurring<sup>\*</sup>; or  
where abuse occurred during the provision of NHS-funded care.

- A Never Event - all Never Events are defined as serious incidents although not all Never Events necessarily result in serious harm or death. See Never Events Policy and Framework for the national definition and further information; An incident (or series of incidents) that prevents, or threatens to prevent, an organisation's ability to continue to deliver an acceptable quality of healthcare services. ( see appendix E for the revised list of Never Events);
- Major loss of confidence in the service, including prolonged adverse media coverage or public concern about the quality of healthcare or an organisation.

## 6 Process for Reporting Internal Incidents

Incident reporting is a key element to promoting a safe culture and is a cornerstone of the wider risk management process within MHCC. An incident is any event that occurs or has the potential to occur which causes harm, injury, loss or damage to a patient, member of staff, or MHCC as an organisation.

In general all employees must report:

- Something that has happened that is contrary to MHCC's accepted standards of practice;
- An accident in which an employee, contractor or member of the public has been, or could have been, injured;
- An incident that places, or has placed employees, contractors, patients or visitors at unnecessary risk;
- An incident that could put MHCC in an adverse legal or an adverse media interest position.

All incidents must be reported by employees using MHCC's Datix electronic incident reporting system. Incident reporting must be undertaken in an accurate and consistent way, which will enable departments and management to action appropriately.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This may include failure to take a complete history, gather information from which to base care plan/treatment, assess mental capacity and/or seek consent to treatment, or fail to share information when to do so would be in the best interest of the client in an effort to prevent further abuse by a third party and/or to follow policy on safer recruitment.

When completing the Datix incident form, it must be remembered that only factual statements must be made. Opinions must be omitted. Further guidance is available in Appendix A.

All incidents reported to, or discovered by an employee, regardless of type or source should always be reported using the online Datix reporting form or if unavailable via the paper incident form (see Appendix D).

Types of incident include:-

**Health and Safety Incident:**

An unplanned and uncontrolled event that has led to or could have caused injury, ill health, harm to persons, damage to equipment or loss. Examples of Health and Safety incidents and actions required are:

- Accident: Where injuries have been sustained from an incident in the workplace (e.g. slip, trip, fall, etc.);
- Buildings Incident: Where an incident occurs due to defects and failures in estates and facilities.

**Occupational Health:**

Any health compromise or illness directly work-related (e.g. Sharps injury, latex allergy, stress, disease, unsafe exposure to substances hazardous to health, infection control/inoculation, poisoning, physical injury, etc).

**Violence/Abuse/Discrimination:**

Where any person is subject to the threat of, or to actual violence and/or verbal abuse or discrimination; MHCC is committed to the NHS Zero Tolerance Policy and encourages the reporting of these as a consequence.

**Fire Incident:**

Any incident involving a fire or any incident where the fire alarm sounds – including false alarms. Such incidents must also be reported to the Head of Corporate Governance, Senior Management and NHS Property Management Services as soon as possible after the incident has occurred.

**Security or Data Security (i.e. Information Governance) Incident:**

Any incident where a breach or a lapse of security or information governance is the dominating factor, e.g. theft or vandalism, premises window left open overnight, or data security incident, e.g. theft of a PC or potential/inadvertent or unauthorised disclosure of patient identifiable information.

(Further guidance for information governance incidents can be found in Appendix D)

**Clinical/Patient Safety Incident:**

Any unintended or unexpected incident that could have or did lead to harm (e.g. injury, suffering, disability or death – physical, psychological or social) for one or more persons receiving MHCC commissioned/NHS-funded health care, (e.g. an occurrence, procedure or intervention, which has or could have given rise to actual injury, or to an unexpected or unwanted effect).

## **Events of Media Interest**

If events cause media interest or have the potential to cause media interest. Please reference the [Media Policy](#) for events of media interest.

## **6.1 Process for Managing Internal Incidents**

When approving an incident, the following steps must be taken:

- Approvers must decide what local action will follow the incident:
  1. Green/Yellow graded incidents - no further action required, although a local investigation is required to take place and be included in the text of the initial incident report stating what immediate and or remedial actions have been taken.
  2. Orange/Red – local investigation must take place, findings and action plans must be recorded on the incident system. Escalation may be needed if this is a particularly serious incident, meets SI criteria, or requires reporting to external agencies (see relevant section of this policy).
  3. Action Plans must be updated until complete for all Orange and Red graded incidents, using the actions section of the incident reporting system.
- If an investigation falls outside the local approver's managerial responsibility then communication with the Corporate Governance Team must take place to request an investigation by another area. This must be undertaken by using the communication/ feedback section of the incident reporting system.
- The approver must ensure that all parts of the incident report form have been completed legibly and review the risk grading criteria before the form is approved. Incidents must be graded according to the grading criteria outlined in Appendix B.

If an incident report form is duplicated local approvers should reject additional copies and note the reason for rejection in the appropriate box. If an incident report is produced inappropriately, they must be rejected and the employee informed of the correct procedures to follow. Please note that if an incident is 'rejected' on the Datix system a record of it will still be maintained.

Where an incident has occurred and action has been taken to address the immediate issues, should further actions be required to prevent future recurrence, further remedial action must be taken and recorded in the investigation section of the Datix incident reporting form regardless of the incident grading. Where there is difficulty or doubt about preventative action, this must be discussed with a member of the Senior Management Team or the Corporate Governance Team. Further guidance can be found in Appendix C.

## **6.2 Process for Investigating Internal Incidents**

As already mentioned earlier in this policy, all incidents graded orange or red must receive a formal investigation, which is recorded in the Datix system.

When investigating an incident one or more of the following must be included and documented:

- Identify system failures/causes that led to the incident.
- Identify corrective action required to prevent further recurrence or harm.
- Where appropriate obtain written statements from any persons involved in the incident or those who witnessed the incident (notes from interviews conducted and written statements must be uploaded into the documents section of the incident reporting system).
- Action plans must be added into the 'Action' section of the incident reporting system, with details of the responsible person to implement the action and due dates. This must then be updated and monitored by the approver.
- Retain all records and documents relevant to the incident.
- Keep the staff informed at all times during the investigation and implementation of action plans.

On completion of an investigation the investigating manager should:

- Provide verbal feedback to personnel involved in the incident.
- Ensure original documentation gathered during the investigation has been uploaded into the 'Documents' section of the incident report form.
- Ensure the Datix system is updated with investigation findings and action plans.
- Ensure actions are implemented and monitored within agreed timescales.
- Consider wider sharing of the investigation outcome to ensure lessons are learned at team meetings, governance committee or for inclusion in MHCC reports.

Further guidance can be found in Appendix C.

## **6.3 Process for Managing Internal (MHCC) Serious Incidents**

### **Serious Incidents**

A degree of judgement is required when deciding to treat an incident as a SI and implement the SI procedure. A first indicator is when an incident has been graded as Red on the Datix system. Other indicators would be:

- Any incident that is reportable to NHS England – as per their Serious Incident Framework <http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/patientsafety/serious-incident/>
- A death or life threatening event involving an employee, visitor, contractor or other persons on MHCC premises or conducting MHCC work
- Any incident which exposes MHCC, its employees or assets to potential or actual litigation
- Any incidents significantly damaging to the reputation of MHCC, its employees or assets
- Any major information governance incident or counter fraud incident (any major breach of corporate policies).

In line with the National Serious Incident Framework (2015) MHCC has noted that depending on the nature of the SI, it may consider the need for a serious incident investigation team independent of the organisation to investigate.

Some SIs span across other organisations. Therefore it may be necessary to undertake joint SI investigations or to ensure that other organisations are aware and updated on MHCC's investigation and its findings and safety lessons are shared, in line with the principles of RASCI (Responsible, Accountable, Supporting, Consulted and Informed). A 'lead commissioner' role should be agreed in relation to serious incidents in providers with multiple commissioners in order to provide a clear communication channel between the provider and commissioning system.

### **Central Reporting of a Serious Incident**

Where a Serious Incident has occurred and been submitted on Datix, employees must not assume the incident report has been reviewed by the Corporate Governance Team or senior management and must make a verbal report of the incident. If there is any doubt a telephone call to the Head of Corporate Governance must be made for advice and support.

Where an incident occurs out of hours, then the senior manager on call must be contacted who will provide assistance in actioning the incident and reporting the event to the Corporate Governance Team when normal hours resume.

#### **Serious Incident Reporting Procedure**

The immediate priority in the case of SIs is to take steps necessary to secure the safety of MHCC employees and other persons that may be involved in the incident. Subsequently, SIs should be reported and actioned as follows:

1. The Head of Corporate Governance or Director of Corporate Affairs (Monday to Friday during office hours) will inform the MHCC Executive Leads or Chief Accountable Officer immediately of any event and jointly take any remedial action necessary. The on-call Senior Manager (out of hours) will take any immediate remedial action necessary and inform the Head of Corporate Governance or Director of Corporate Affairs when normal office hours resume.
2. Comments or responses to the press or other media enquiries must only occur following discussion with the Director of Corporate Affairs and only after any patients, relatives or employees have been informed.
3. NHS England will be advised of the nature of the SI and that an investigation has commenced as soon as the SI is known and no later than 2 working days of knowledge of the SI. An initial incident review should be undertaken and submitted to NHS England within 72 hours. The final report will be submitted following completion of the investigation and no later than 60 working days following notification, unless unavoidable delays occur which must be discussed with NHS England. All reporting will be completed by reporting on the STEIS Serious Incident Reporting System and by telephone in accordance with the NHS England Serious Incident Reporting Protocol.
4. A serious incident investigation team will be convened and may comprise of:
  - An MHCC Senior Manager
  - Head of Corporate Governance or Director of Corporate Affairs

- Lead Manager (of affected area/department)
- Specialists as required (such as communications, information governance, counter fraud etc)
- A member of the MHCC Quality Team to provide expertise regarding root cause analysis review.

The membership of the Team may be increased to include representation from the areas affected, according to the nature of the incident.

5. The principle functions of the serious incident investigation team are:
  - Investigation of the SI to identify, as rapidly as possible, the facts and consequences, using RCA methodology. A timeline will be produced based on the SI and if necessary written statements gained.
  - Co-ordinate information, communication and press coverage as well as establishing efficient means of dealing with enquiries from press, media, relatives and members of the public.
  - Organise appropriate counselling and support for employees affected by the SI.
  - Production of an action plan designed to correct or limit the consequences, minimise the chance of recurrence in the future and allow lessons to be learned.
  - Production of a preliminary and final written report in a timely fashion under the guidelines set out in this document.
6. An investigating officer (Lead Investigator) must be appointed to manage the investigation, gather the facts of the SI, co-ordinate all statements and documentation, keep contemporaneous notes of the investigation meetings and ensure that the timescales set out in this policy are adhered to.
7. Consideration will be given as to whether it is appropriate to report the SI to the relevant professional or statutory body (e.g. Nursing and Midwifery Council, General Medical Council, General Dental Council, Health and Safety Executive, Medicine and Healthcare Related Products Agency or National Patient Safety Agency) including the outcome of the investigation.

## Reporting to External Agencies

MHCC is responsible for ensuring incidents are reported in a timely manner to external agencies as detailed below and that safety lessons are shared.

**Police** - Incidents must be reported to the Police promptly when there is

- Evidence or suspicion of criminal activity;
- Evidence or suspicion that the actions leading to the incident were intended (such as fraud).

The MHCC Chief Accountable Officer and Director of Corporate Affairs should always be consulted before the police are called except in emergencies.

**NHS England** – MHCC SIs will be reported to NHS England by the Quality Team via the electronic STEIS system. Investigation reports will be shared with NHS England

on completion of the investigation and within their timescales of 60 working days (unless otherwise stated by NHS England). If this is not possible, NHS England should be notified as soon as possible including reasons for any delay.

**Health and Safety Executive (HSE)** - Many incidents will be reportable to the HSE under the “Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (RIDDOR)”.

The following are examples of reportable incidents under RIDDOR:

- Incidents which result in an employee or a self-employed person (working for MHCC) dying, suffering a major injury, or being absent from work or unable to perform their normal duties for more than seven days.
- Incidents which result in any person suffering an injury and being taken to hospital.
- An employee or self-employed person suffering from a work related disease, such as asbestos exposure.

The Corporate Governance Team on receipt or further investigation of an incident report will undertake reporting to the HSE.

**Information Commissioner’s Office (ICO) and Department of Health** – Many Level 2 Information Governance and Cyber Security Serious Incidents Requiring Investigation (IG SIRI) are reportable.

The severity of the incident will be determined by the scale (numbers of individuals affected) and sensitivity factors selected. If the outcome in terms of the severity of the incident is IG SIRI level 2 (reportable) an email notification via the Information Governance Toolkit will be sent to the HSCIC External IG Delivery Team, DH, ICO and escalated to other regulators, as appropriate (pure Cyber SIRI notification Department of Health (DH) and HSCIC only). If the outcome is IG SIRI level 0 or 1 no notifications will be sent.

For further information see Appendix D.

## **7 Dissemination, Training & Advice**

Once ratified this policy will supersede all previous incident reporting policies and procedures (Excluding those relating to incidents within commissioned services). In order that this policy is disseminated and implemented correctly the following will occur after ratification:

- The policy will be published on the MHCC website and relevant links sent out via the communications and engagement department.
- Commissioning Matters will include a link to this policy.
- The Datix risk management training is designed to match this policy and attendees are made aware of this policy.
- Senior managers will make their staff aware of this policy when questioned about incidents.
- Advice can be sought from the Corporate Governance Team.

The policy will be reviewed every three years unless there is a significant change in legislation or process which requires an urgent change in procedure.

Six monthly compliance monitoring reports will be produced by the Corporate Governance Team for review, action and monitoring by the MHCC Board or Committee with delegated responsibility for Governance. The report will record who is reporting incidents, the timeframe for approving incidents, outstanding investigations and reporting to external bodies. This will enable the Committee to be assured that incidents are being effectively and efficiently managed and investigated within MHCC, as statutorily required.

The incidents, complaints and claims report will be sent to the MHCC Board or Committee with delegated responsibility on a bi-annual basis. The report takes a holistic look at the information to identify providers and/or issues that MHCC should be considering and taking action on so that this can provide the Committee with the assurance that trends within this data are being managed appropriately.

#### Monitoring Internal (MHCC) Serious Incidents Process

All serious incident reports will be sent to the MHCC Board or delegated Committee for review, comment and action. They will be sent again once the action plan is complete so the committee can seek assurance.

The incident complaints and claims report will be sent to the MHCC Board or delegate Committee. The report takes a holistic look at all incidents, which may lead to serious incidents, complaints and claims, and gives the committee assurance that trends within this data are being managed appropriately.

## 8 References

### Legislation

- Health and Social Care Act 2012
- Health Act 2006
- Health and Safety at Work Act 1974
- Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013
- The Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999 (a)
- The Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007

### Guidance

- NHS England (March 2015) Serious Incident Reporting Framework 2015 <http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/patientsafety/serious-incident/>
- National Health Service Litigation Authority (NHSLA) Risk Management Standards
- Health and Safety Executive Documentation
- Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999
- Reporting of Incidents, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrence Regulations 1995

- The Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust Public Inquiry (Francis Report, 2013)
- Department of Health (2000) “An Organisation with a Memory: Report of an Expert Group on Learning from Adverse Events in the NHS”
- Secretary for State Directions - Protection of lone workers on accordance with the directions of health bodies on the measures to deal with violence against NHS staff and directions to health bodies on security management measures 2003 /2004 as amended in 2006.
- NHS Security Management Services 2009 - A guide for the better protection of lone workers in the NHS
- The NHS Code of Conduct for Managers (2007 and 2012)
- Organisation with Memory: Building a Safer NHS (2001)
- A promise to learn – a commitment to act: improving the safety of patients in England (Berwick Report, 2013)

## Appendix A – Guidance for Employees and Contractors

Any member of MHCC staff can report an incident. Please remember to report an incident as soon as possible after the event. Access the DATIX incident form via the MHCC Intranet page. A new Datix form will appear. Note: Mandatory fields are denoted by \* and must be completed.

The Incident Form consists of nine sections and should be completed in line with the guidance below:

### Incident Date and Time:

- On clicking the calendar button, a calendar will appear in the top left-hand corner of the screen. Click on the appropriate date to select.
- Although time is not a mandatory field, if you know the time please complete as this enables us to see if there are patterns and trends occurring at particular times of the day. (Please use 24-hour clock).

### Incident Type:

- Please select the relevant 'type' of incident. Such as MHCC incident or GP quality issue (the latter are likely to be issues that practices have made MHC aware of).
- Select who was affected by the incident.

### Details of Incident:

- Select the *service and location* of the incident (this may be the building and exact location for MHCC incidents, such as 'Parkway Ground' – 'Kitchen').
- *Description of Incident* - Patient and staff identifiers must not be entered in these free text boxes. The words 'patient' or the staff members 'job title' should be used instead of names/unit numbers, to identify individuals. The description of the incident should be as detailed as possible, but based on facts only, personal opinion must be avoided at all times.
- *Immediate Action Taken* - Patient and staff identifiers must not be used. Details of actions taken following the incident must be reported based on fact, personal opinions must be avoided.

### Incident Coding:

- Using the drop down menus select the most appropriate category and sub category to describe the incident (if you are unable to find the appropriate code please select the next most appropriate or contact the Corporate Governance Team for guidance).

### Incident Result and Severity:

- Indicate in the Result box whether the incident resulted in harm, no harm or was a near miss.
- Indicate in the Severity box the degree of harm that was caused.

### Documents:

- You can upload any documents that were of relevance to the incident in this section. This is particularly helpful for investigating incidents.

**Details of the person reporting the incident:**

- This section is to record your details in case we need to follow up on the information provided or during an investigation. You should provide your work contact details, including your NHS email address, which is used to acknowledge the incident form has been sent appropriately.

**Reporters Location:**

- From the drop down boxes please select the location you are reporting the incident from. This is usually the department in which you work.

**Responsible Manager:**

- Choose your managers name from the drop down box. (if you are unable to find the your manager please select the next most appropriate person or contact the Corporate Services Team for guidance)

**Completion of Incident Report:**

When the form is complete, click 'submit incident' at the bottom of the page. The form will not submit if any mandatory information is missing and a prompt will appear. An incident number will be generated which can be noted for future reference and used for requesting feedback. Additionally, the reporter has the option to print a copy of the information submitted. The appropriate incident approvers will automatically be notified of the incident. You should still inform your local manager of the incident verbally.

## Appendix B – Risk Grading

It is necessary to rate risk systematically using standard methodology, so that they can be placed into one of the three categories above. This allows prioritisation of remedial action. All incidents should be rated in 2 ways:

### Assessment of Consequence

Choose the most appropriate domain for the identified risk from the left hand side of the table. Then work along the columns in the same row to assess the severity of the risk on the scale of 1 to 5 to determine the consequence score, which is the number given at the top of the column.

	Consequence score (severity levels) and examples of descriptors				
	1	2	3	4	5
Domains	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
<b>Impact on the safety of patients, staff or public (physical/psychological harm)</b>	Minimal injury requiring no/minimal intervention or treatment.  No time off work	Minor injury or illness, requiring minor intervention  Requiring time off work for >3 days  Increase in length of hospital stay by 1-3 days	Moderate injury requiring professional intervention  Requiring time off work for 4-14 days  Increase in length of hospital stay by 4-15 days  RIDDOR/agency reportable incident  An event which impacts on a small number of patients	Major injury leading to long-term incapacity/disability  Requiring time off work for >14 days  Increase in length of hospital stay by >15 days  Mismanagement of patient care with long-term effects	Incident leading to death  Multiple permanent injuries or irreversible health effects  An event which impacts on a large number of patients
<b>Quality/complaints/audit</b>	Peripheral element of treatment or service suboptimal  Informal complaint/inquiry	Overall treatment or service suboptimal  Formal complaint (stage 1)  Local resolution  Single failure to meet internal standards  Minor implications for patient safety if unresolved  Reduced performance rating if unresolved	Treatment or service has significantly reduced effectiveness  Formal complaint (stage 2) complaint  Local resolution (with potential to go to independent review)  Repeated failure to meet internal standards  Major patient safety implications if findings are not acted on	Non-compliance with national standards with significant risk to patients if unresolved  Multiple complaints/independent review  Low performance rating  Critical report	Totally unacceptable level or quality of treatment/service  Gross failure of patient safety if findings not acted on  Inquest/ombudsman inquiry  Gross failure to meet national standards

<b>Human resources/ organisational development/staffing/ competence</b>	Short-term low staffing level that temporarily reduces service quality (< 1 day)	Low staffing level that reduces the service quality	Late delivery of key objective/ service due to lack of staff  Unsafe staffing level or competence (>1 day)  Low staff morale  Poor staff attendance for mandatory/key training	Uncertain delivery of key objective/service due to lack of staff  Unsafe staffing level or competence (>5 days)  Loss of key staff  Very low staff morale  No staff attending mandatory/ key training	Non-delivery of key objective/service due to lack of staff  Ongoing unsafe staffing levels or competence  Loss of several key staff  No staff attending mandatory training /key training on an ongoing basis
<b>Statutory duty/ inspections</b>	No or minimal impact or breach of guidance/ statutory duty	Breach of statutory legislation  Reduced performance rating if unresolved	Single breach in statutory duty  Challenging external recommendations/ improvement notice	Enforcement action  Multiple breaches in statutory duty  Improvement notices  Low performance rating  Critical report	Multiple breaches in statutory duty  Prosecution  Complete systems change required  Zero performance rating  Severely critical report
<b>Adverse publicity/ reputation</b>	Rumours  Potential for public concern	Local media coverage – short-term reduction in public confidence  Elements of public expectation not being met	Local media coverage – long-term reduction in public confidence	National media coverage with <3 days service well below reasonable public expectation	National media coverage with >3 days service well below reasonable public expectation. MP concerned (questions in the House)  Total loss of public confidence
<b>Business objectives/ projects</b>	Insignificant cost increase/ schedule slippage	<5 per cent over project budget  Schedule slippage	5–10 per cent over project budget  Schedule slippage	Non-compliance with national 10–25 per cent over project budget  Schedule slippage  Key objectives not met	Incident leading >25 per cent over project budget  Schedule slippage  Key objectives not met
<b>Finance including claims</b>	Small loss Risk of claim remote	Loss of 0.1–0.25 per cent of budget  Claim less than £10,000	Loss of 0.25–0.5 per cent of budget  Claim(s) between £10,000 and £100,000	Uncertain delivery of key objective/Loss of 0.5–1.0 per cent of budget  Claim(s) between £100,000 and £1 million  Purchasers failing to pay on time	Non-delivery of key objective/ Loss of >1 per cent of budget  Failure to meet specification/ slippage  Loss of contract / payment by results  Claim(s) >£1 million
<b>Service/business interruption Environmental impact</b>	Loss/interruption of >1 hour  Minimal or no impact on the environment	Loss/interruption of >8 hours  Minor impact on environment	Loss/interruption of >1 day  Moderate impact on environment	Loss/interruption of >1 week  Major impact on environment	Permanent loss of service or facility  Catastrophic impact on environment

### Assessment of Likelihood of Reoccurrence

The tool described here provides a simple way of rating the potential risk associated with hazards. It requires an assessment of rating the potential consequences and the likelihood of recurrence of harm from the hazard. (A hazard is anything that has the potential to lead to or cause actual harm, the risk is how likely the hazard will cause harm).

Likelihood score	1	2	3	4	5
Descriptor	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost certain
Frequency How often might it/does it happen	This will probably never happen/recur	Do not expect it to happen/recur but it is possible it may do so	Might happen or recur occasionally	Will probably happen/recur but it is not a persisting issue	Will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently

### Risk Rating = Consequence X Likelihood

#### Measures of Consequence

Level	Descriptor	Description
1	Insignificant	No adverse outcome or injury
2	Minor	Short term adverse outcome
3	Moderate	Semi-permanent outcome or injury
4	Major	Permanent adverse outcome or Injury
5	Catastrophic	Death; Adverse Publicity etc

#### Measures of Likelihood of Reoccurrence

Level	Descriptor	Description
1	Rare	Can't reasonably believe that this will ever happen again
2	Unlikely	Do not expect it to happen again but it is possible
3	Possible	May re-occur. Occasionally
4	Likely	Will probably re-occur but is not a persistent issue
5	Almost certain	Likely to re-occur on many occasions, a persistent issue

### Risk Grading Matrix

Likelihood	Consequence				
	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
Rare	1	2	3	4	5
Unlikely	2	4	6	8	10
Possible	3	6	9	12	15
Likely	4	8	12	16	20
Almost Certain	5	10	15	20	25

## Appendix C – Guidance for Approvers and Investigators

Nominated managers review and approve incidents. All 'approvers' must have undertaken training in the reviewing of incidents. This can be accessed by contacting the Corporate Governance Team. Access to the system is only provided once training is complete.

On submission of an incident an e-mail is automatically generated and sent to the nominated 'approver'. The incident must be reviewed in a timely manner.. All incidents must be moved from the holding area within Datix to final approval within 30 days. For low risk (green or yellow) graded incidents this means that the incident is reviewed and immediate actions taken are documented by the investigator within Datix. For moderate or high risk (amber or red) incidents this means that an investigation in line with this policy is completed. The approver of the incident will be required to ensure all requirements are completed before changing the status of the incident within Datix to 'Final Approval'. This time-scale will be performance managed by the Compliance Report that is presented to the MHCC Governance Committee.

### Approving and checking incidents:

The local approver must check that the following information is present, factual and accurate:

- What happened
- When it happened
- Where it happened
- Who/ what was involved
- What the outcome was and what immediate action was taken
- Ensure that staff/ patient names do not appear on the "description of incident" and the "immediate action taken", if they do, the names should be deleted and replaced with generic terms
- Ensure that the description and action taken fields are factual accounts, and not those of opinion.
- Check category and sub category are correct for of coding
- Assign a grading and identify appropriate level of investigation.
- Look out for any patterns, trends or key issues
- Finally approve the incident by changing the approval status on the DATIX form to 'final approval' and add a 'closed date'.

**The approver has the facility to add/amend information as necessary to any of the fields. Any changes made will appear on the audit trail.**

- The feedback facility can be used to email reporting staff with feedback on the outcome of the incident or if further information is required. MHCC advises approvers to try and respond to all incident reporters and create a culture of 100% feedback from incident reporting.

### If the incident requires investigation:

Approvers are responsible for identifying incidents in need of investigation. These will be monitored and performance managed by the Corporate Governance Team.

1. Green/Yellow incidents = no further action, but should be monitored locally for trends
2. Orange incidents = must be Investigated at a local level
3. Red Incidents = Does this require an internal Serious Incident investigation/procedure?

Where the investigation is outside your normal management responsibilities you should use the communication and feedback section to inform the relevant investigator that another area needs

to be completed.

Add lessons learned and further actions taken to the Investigation Section (which can be found on the left hand side of an incident form). Complete the investigator box, dates and costs where applicable as well as the “Lessons Learned” and “Actions taken” fields with as much detail as possible.

Complete the action plan with who is responsible for each action and the timeframes given for the action to be completed. These should include actions undertaken to prevent or reduce the likelihood of recurrence.

You must also ensure if further documentation has been produced as part of the investigation process you retrieve the incident form and attach documents e.g. RCA, C Diff, by clicking the document section and add a document.

## **Appendix D - Information Governance Incident Procedure**

### **Information Governance Related Incident**

An Information Governance or Information Security related incident relates to breaches of security and/or the confidentiality of personal information which could be anything from users of computer systems sharing passwords, to a piece of paper identifying a patient being found in the high street.

It could also be any event that has resulted or could result in:

- The integrity of an information system or data being put at risk;
- The availability of an information system or information being put at risk;
- An adverse impact, for example, embarrassment to the NHS, threat to personal safety or privacy, legal obligation or penalty, financial loss and/or disruption of activities.

Some more common areas of incidents are listed below but this list is not exhaustive and should be used as guidance only. If there is any doubt as to what you have found being an incident it is best to report it to the relevant person for this decision.

#### **Breach of security**

- Loss of computer equipment due to crime or an individual’s carelessness;
- Loss of computer media, for example, CDs, memory sticks/USB sticks due to crime or an individual’s carelessness;
- Accessing any part of a database using someone else’s authorisation either fraudulently or by accident.

#### **Breach of confidentiality**

- Finding a computer printout with personal identifiable data on it in a public area;
- Finding any paper records about a patient/member of staff or business of the organisation in any location outside secured CCG premises;
- Being able to view patient records in an employee’s car;
- Discussing patient and/or staff personal information with someone else in an open area where the conversation can be overheard;
- A fax being received by the incorrect recipient.

## Information Governance Related Serious Incidents (SI)

There is no simple definition of an Information Governance incident. What may at first appear to be of minor importance may, on further investigation, be found to be serious or vice versa. Please see the link below “Checklist Guidance for Reporting, Managing and Investigating Information Governance Serious Incidents Requiring Investigation” document for further details and examples.

<https://www.igt.hscic.gov.uk/KnowledgeBaseNew/HSCIC%20IG%20SIRI%20%20Checklist%20Guidance%20V2%200%201st%20June%202013.pdf>

As a guide, any incident involving the actual or potential loss of personal information that could lead to identity fraud or have another significant impact on individuals should be considered as serious. This definition applies irrespective of the media involved and includes both loss of electronic media and paper records.

Categorising of the incident assists to distinguish the severity level of the Information Governance related incident and whether it is a SI or not. This is explained in later sections of this procedure.

### Process for Reporting Information Governance Incidents

Staff must follow the above policy in order to report any incident. All Information Security/Information Governance incidents must be reported using this procedure only and no other method.

On receipt of the Information Governance Team being notified of incidents relating to Information Governance, the severity score is calculated according to the checklist contained within the “Checklist Guidance for Reporting, Managing and Investigating Information Governance Serious Incidents Requiring Investigation (SIRI’s)” (Health and Social Care Information Centre, June 2013, Version 2). Please see link below Annex A:

<https://www.igt.hscic.gov.uk/KnowledgeBaseNew/HSCIC%20IG%20SIRI%20%20Checklist%20Guidance%20V2%200%201st%20June%202013.pdf>

The Information Governance Team must be notified of all Information Governance/Information Security incidents as well as logging this following MHCC’s incident reporting processes. The immediate response to the incident and the escalation process for reporting and investigating of incidents will vary according to the severity level of the incident.

### IG Toolkit Incident Reporting Tool (for SIRI incidents)

Where it is suspected that an **IG SIRI (Serious Incident Requiring Investigation)** has taken place, this will be logged on the IG Toolkit Incident Reporting Tool. This is mandated from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2013. The IG Incident Reporting Tool which can be found on the IG Toolkit website will play a key role in providing visibility/knowledge and encouraging collaborative partnership working amongst key stakeholders to find solutions for addressing issues. Key staff will also be informally notified (Chief Operating Officer, Senior Information Risk Owner, Caldicott Guardian and/other Directors) as an ‘early warning’ to ensure that they are in a position to respond to enquiries from third parties and to avoid ‘surprises’.

StEIS will be used for reporting all SI’s and initial report should be made as soon as possible.

StEIS should be regularly updated as appropriate.

### Assessing severity of Information Governance Incident

The IG SIRI's category is determined by the context, scale and sensitivity of the incident. Every incident is categorised at the following levels:

1. Confirmed IG SIRI but no need to report to the ICO, DH and other central bodies.
2. Confirmed IG SIRI that must be reported to ICO, DH and other central bodies.

A further category of IG SIRI is also possible and is to be used in incident closure where it is determined that it was a near miss or the incident is found to have been mistakenly reported:

3. Near miss/non-event.

If an incident is found to have neither occurred or the severity of the category has been reduced due to factors that were not planned for the incident will be recorded as a "near miss". This will allow for MHCC to undertake a lessons learned exercise.

The following process is used to categorise an IG SIRI. This can also be found in the checklist guidance referenced earlier in this document.

#### Step 1

Any incident will need to have a baseline assessment which will allow the final score to be identified. To establish the baseline the incident must be scored using the table below:

Baseline Scale	
0	Information about less than 10 individuals
1	Information about 11-50 individuals
1	Information about 51-100 individuals
2	Information about 101-300 individuals
2	Information about 301-500 individuals
2	Information about 501-1000 individuals
3	Information about 1001-5000 individuals
3	Information about 5001-1000 individuals
3	Information about 1001-100,000 individuals
3	Information about 100,001+ individuals

Where the numbers of individuals that are potentially impacted by an incident are unknown, a sensible view of the likely worst case should inform the assessment of the SIRI level. When more accurate information is determined the level should be revised as quickly as possible to all key bodies notified.

#### Step 2

The below table will allow for the sensitivity characteristics to be scored and therefore permit the baseline score to be adjusted accordingly

#### Sensitivity Factors (SF)

Low: 1	For each of the following factors reduce the base line score by 1
-1 for each	No clinical data at risk
	Limited demographic data at risk e.g. address not included, name not included.
	Security controls/difficulty to access data partially mitigates risk.

Medium:	The following factors have no effect on the baseline score
0	Basic demographic data at risk e.g. equivalent to telephone directory.
	Limited clinical information at risk e.g. clinic attendance, ward handover sheet.

High: 1	For each of the following factors increase the baseline score by 1
+1 for each	Detailed clinical information at risk e.g. case notes
	Particularly sensitive information at risk e.g. HIV. STD, Mental Health, Children.
	One or more previous incidents of a similar type in the past 12 months.
	Failure to securely encrypt mobile technology or other obvious security failing.
	Celebrity involved or other newsworthy aspects of media interest.
	A complaint has been made to the information Commissioner.
	Individuals affected are likely to suffer significant distress or embarrassment.
	Individuals affected have been placed at risk of physical harm.
	Individuals affected may suffer significant detriment e.g, financial loss.
Incident has incurred or risked incurring a clinical untoward incident.	

### Step 3

Where the adjusted score indicates that the incident is a level 2 or more, the incident will be reported to the ICO and the DH automatically via the IGT Incidents Reporting Tool.

Final Score:	Level of SIRI
1 or less	Level 1 IG SIRI (Not Reportable)
2 or more	Level 2 IG SIRI (Reportable)

As more information becomes available, the incident level should be re-assessed.

Where the level of likely media interest is initially assessed as minor but this assessment changes due to circumstances (e.g. a relevant FOI request or specific journalist interest) the SUI level should be revised as quickly as possible and all key bodies notified. Note that informing data subjects is likely to put an incident into the public/media domain.

### 5.0 Management and investigation of IG reported incidents

### Incidents scored 0 - 1

For incidents that are scored 0 -1, senior members of staff in that area/department are responsible for the investigation of that incident and assessing the situation. The Information Governance Team will be there to provide support and guidance and provide any additional information or training that may be required. It is integral that any action taken is to minimise the potential adverse effects of the incident and help to minimise the risk of the incident occurring in the future as this could result in a SIRI.

### Incidents scored 2+

For incidents that are scored 2 and above the following action should be undertaken in conjunction with the Information Governance Team:

- Appoint an investigating Officer;
- Engage appropriate specialist help (IG, IT, Security, Records Management);
- Where across the organisational boundaries coordinate investigations and incident management;
- Carry out a RCA;
- Ensure that all relevant rules in regards to interviews, evidence and preservation of evidence are followed;
- Document investigation and findings;
- Ensure that content is reviewed;
- Identify lessons learned.

It is important that the information that is held within the IG Incident Reporting Tool is relevant and up to date therefore the Information Governance Team should be kept up to date of all developments. Please note that all information under a closed IG SIRI will be published quarterly by the Health and Social Care Information Centre. Therefore it is integral that all the information recorded is appropriate and does not include information that would not normally be released under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.